ABSTRACT

The parameters of the hot-pressing process have a substantial effect on the final mechanical and physical properties of wood-based composites. The number of interacting variables during the consolidation is prohibitively large to assess a wide variety of data by experimental means. A combined stochastic and deterministic model, based on fundamental engineering principles, was developed and validated for establishing the critical relationships between the processing parameters and the physical properties of oriented strandboard (OSB). In the first phase of this research, a Monte-Carlo simulation model was developed for describing the spatial structure of a three-layer OSB. The model was designed to mimic the strand deposition during the mat formation, including the three-dimensional spatial geometry, orientation, and density of the strands. These physical characteristics of the mat formation process were considered as stochastic variables that can be described by well-developed probability distributions. The parameters of these underlying distributions were derived from data collected on industrial strands by using an image analysis technique. The model superimposes a grid on the simulated mat and is capable of computing the number of strands, as well as the thickness and density of the mat, at each grid point. Additionally, it can predict the change in several void volume fractions and strand contact area within the mat during the consolidation. The model has application to wafer, particle, and fiber mats as well. This structural simulation model is the basis of further model development that describes the heat and mass transfer processes, and the viscoelastic nature of the hot-pressing operation during OSB manufacturing.
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where it may condense. As the core temperature gradually increases, the water content of the core also vaporizes, and the increased pressure drives the vapor to the edge of the mat, where it leaves the structure. The moisture transport declines as the water content of the wood elements nears depletion. Meanwhile, the heat transport is assisted by the steam flow and subsides as the mat temperature approaches the press platen temperature. Therefore, a dynamic water and heat transport can be observed during the hot-pressing operation. The rate of the moisture and heat transfer strongly depends on the structure of the mat and how this structure changes during the compression. More precisely, the transient void volume, which is comprised of the gaps between the wood elements, provides the pathway to fluid flow and is directly linked to the changing heat and mass transfer properties (thermal conductivity, permeability, diffusivity) of the mat during the consolidation process. Furthermore, the voids in the uncompressed mat structure are a function of the size of the wood elements. Smaller and more uniform-sized elements will form smaller voids. In the case of larger elements, such as strands or wafers, a considerable amount of void might develop in the mat due to tilting and bridging of the constituents. Accordingly, it is quite important that the initial value and the characteristic change of the void volume are properly predicted by the mat formation model, and they must be incorporated in the heat and mass transfer simulation. The primary goal of the first phase of this research was to develop a simulation process that adequately describes the spatial structure of OSB mats and can handle the change in void volume and strand contact area during the press closure.

BACKGROUND

The quantitative understanding of the mat formation process used in the manufacture of wood-based composites can help to establish structure and property relationships. Researchers have realized the importance of the structural characterization in relation to the final quality of wood-based composite products (Lang and Wolcott 1995; Kallmes 1961; Kallmes and Corte 1960; Kallmes et al. 1961; Suchsland 1959, 1962; Steiner and Dai 1993). One of the earliest papers that describes the structure and property relationships of particleboard was published by Suchsland (1959). He investigated the effect of particle geometry on the initial void volume and the resulting horizontal density distribution within the mat. He also recognized that the bending stiffness of the board is not only a function of final board density, but also depends on the degree of contact of particles during the compression (Suchsland 1962, 1967). Suchsland also described the horizontal density variation using a veneer strip model (Suchsland and Xu 1989; Suchsland and Hong 1989). This model was based on several mutually perpendicular layers of uniform-sized veneer strips, including air gaps, glued together in a board. The strips could overlap, forming a horizontal density distribution within the mat. The number of overlaps was a good indicator of internal bond strength and thickness swelling characteristics.

Two basic computer simulation modeling approaches were developed to characterize the spatial structure of randomly formed wood flake composites: the surface and the edge models. The surface model (Dai and Steiner 1993, 1994a, b; Steiner and Dai 1993) incorporates geometric probability theory and simulation techniques for describing the characteristics observable on the surface of the flake mat. The basis of the model is an idealized, randomly formed, flake layer network, where the dimensions of the flakes are uniform, and the number of the flakes is limited in such a manner that the sum of the area of the flakes is equal to the area of the layer. Given these assumptions, several properties of the flake network became mathematically definable using probability distributions and a random field theory. The composite mat is constructed from these single layers of flakes. The model can calculate the horizontal density distribution of the mat, the flake-to-flake contact area,
and the void volume fraction. Furthermore, it can predict the stress-strain behavior of the mat during a cold compression process based on the transverse compression properties of cellular solids.

The edge model (Lang and Wolcott 1995, 1996a, b) subdivides the mat into imaginary flake columns of finite size. The geometrical properties of the columns are determined by experiments and measured at the edge of the mat. Probability density functions are then fit to the data. The columns are reproduced by sampling the fitted probability distributions with the simulation model. This model predicts stress development due to flake bending at the early stage of the mat consolidation in addition to the transverse compression of the flakes at higher pressures. Good agreement was found between predicted and measured compression stress values at stress level as low as 0.01 MPa, which is important in manufacturing low-density composite panels.

The above-mentioned mat formation models were developed using constant flake geometry and completely random orientation of the flakes. However, the flake geometry has a definite relationship with packing efficiency, and thus it will influence the density of the composite (Kelley 1977; Brumbaugh 1960; Bhagwat 1971; Heebink and Hann 1959; Strickler 1959; Hoglund et al. 1976). In the case of the formation of certain wood-based composite mats, such as oriented strandboard and oriented strand lumber, the orientation of the strands is also restricted. Although the measurements of the number of overlapping strands and orientation is relatively simple, for more refined wood-based composites, such as particle and fiber mats, the measurements would be far more challenging.

In order to improve on the predictions of the previous models, we developed a more realistic description of the mat structure, where the mat formation includes the geometry of the wood elements as random variables and certain limitations can be imposed on the orientation of the elements. Additionally, a density value is assigned to each individual strand, allowing the simulation of the horizontal density distribution of composites made out of mixed species. The model was developed based on data obtained from commercial strand measurements, and the mat formation process model was validated by comparison of the simulated horizontal density distribution to a measured horizontal density distribution. At the present time, none of the published models could predict the real structure and horizontal density distribution of a commercial, three-layer OSB mat, based on geometry and density measurements on industrial strands.

**MODEL DEVELOPMENT**

*General model development*

Over the years several stochastic models were developed for describing the spatial characteristics of wood-strand mats (Dai and Steiner 1993, 1994a, b; Lang and Wolcott 1995, 1996a, b). These models had specific purposes either to describe the stress-strain behavior of the mat during the consolidation process or to simulate other relevant manufacturing parameters. In this research, a numerical description of the spatial structure of a three-layer OSB mat was needed to further investigate the heat and mass transfer phenomenon. The approach included the stochastic nature of dimensions, orientation, and density of the constituents during the mat formation process.

The general idea of the mat formation simulation was to virtually reconstruct the mat from individual wood elements. The characteristics of the deposition and strand dimensions were obtained from experimentally determined probability distributions. The best fitting distributions were sampled by the simulation routine with algorithms described by Law and Kelton (1982). In order to make the model viable to describe the transient processes during the press closure, the general model was divided into three main parts.

In the first part, the mat formation process was simulated by numerically depositing random-sized strands in a predetermined mat area. This process required input values, such
as the number of layers and the cumulative mass of the strands deposited at each layer. Assumptions of the model include: strands are parallel to the base of the mat, strands have an approximated rectangular shape, the strand deposition follows a standard uniform distribution (equal chance for each strand to drop anywhere within the predetermined mat area), the orientation of the strands follows a uniform distribution within experimentally determined limits, and the probability distributions of the strand dimensions (length, width, thickness) are based on samples representing the population distributions by layers.

The model can generate any size mat, but at the edges the simulation is not representative, because strands that are partly outside of the predetermined boundaries will not be present at the edges, creating unjustified voids along the perimeter of the predetermined mat area. Other researchers addressed this problem using the torus convention, which states that part of the strand protruding out from the predetermined mat area is reentering on the other side as a partial strand. However, due to computational difficulties, this routine can not be applied easily to describe the mat forming process. Instead, the problem can be solved by trimming the edges of the simulated mat by an amount equal to the size of the average strand length. This simulation routine is governed by the target final density of the panel. From this predetermined physical property, the necessary total mass of strands is computed. When the generated cumulative mass of the strands reaches this value, the simulation process ends.

In the second stage of the simulation, a grid is superimposed over the valid area of the mat. The grid establishes imaginary strand columns, in which the number, the cumulative thickness, and mass of the strands are calculated and stored. The strand counter algorithm allows for the superposition of virtually any sized grid over the mat area in question. However, due to computer processing time, the maximum resolution of the investigated mat area is about 200,000 imaginary columns.

Two approaches to the computational geometry problem imposed by the strand counter algorithm were considered. The first is the “triangulation” method, where the vertices of the polygon (in-plane shape of the strand) is connected to the grid point, forming triangles. Whenever the sum of the areas of the triangles is equal to the area of the polygon, the point is inside the polygon. However, when the total area of the triangles is larger than that of the rectangular area of the strand, then the point is outside of the polygon; thus it is not counted. Although this method is easy to implement, it has a practical limitation. The areas can be calculated and compared with a certain digital precision. The program can make a wrong decision whenever the point is too close to the side of the polygon. In this case, the difference between the sum of the areas of the triangles and the area of the polygon is zero according to digital precision. The routine substantially slows down as the precision is refined.

The second approach is referred to as the “left” algorithm (O’Rourke 1994). Essentially the routine “walks” around the perimeter of the polygon (strand) counterclockwise, meanwhile looking at the point. Whenever the grid point is inside of the strand, it always appears at the left side during the walk. However, the point appears sometimes at the right side, if it is outside of the strand. Additionally, if the point is positioned at the boundary of the polygon, the algorithm will walk through it, which allows grid points to be positioned at the perimeter of the strands.

For the simulation of transient effects during the press closure, the third part of the model virtually describes the press platen closure during a cold-pressing process. At this stage of the model development, there was no interest to describe the stress-strain relationships during the compression process since the mechanical properties are dependent on temperature and moisture content. The current analysis is concerned only with the structural changes that occur during the compression of the mat. This part of the routine starts the con-
solidification process from a specified mat thickness. The mat thickness depends on the target thickness and target density of the final panel. The routine calculates the void volume between strands and the void volume within strands in each imaginary column continuously during the consolidation, as well as the strand contact areas as a function of time. The model has the flexibility to simulate real press closing schedules, including the press closing time and venting periods. The strand contact area is a good indicator of the effective bonded area (Dai and Steiner 1994a). The calculations are based on the cumulative thickness of the strands in the imaginary strand columns relative to the distance between the press platens. Whenever the cumulative thickness is less than the distance between the platens, the strands are not in contact and void volume exists.

Monitoring structural changes of the mat during compression

A double cellularity can be identified within the structure of the mat. Space exists among the strands due to the inherent randomness of the deposition process (between flake void), and the flakes include the cell lumen in their structure (within flake void). Consequently, it is possible to distinguish not only two different voids, but also two different densities: the density of the mat ($\rho_m$), and the average density of the component flakes ($\rho_f$). At the beginning of the press closure, only the between-flake void ($V_s$) is eliminated from the mat structure, resulting in only a density increase of the mat. As the between-flake voids are eliminated and the flakes make contact, further pressure increase collapses the cell wall, and the lumen volume is decreased ($V_l$). At this stage, both the density of the mat and the density of the constituent flakes have increased. The change of the magnitude of the two types of voids (space and lumen) in the mat structure, together with the two types of densities (mat and flake), can be monitored by the mat formation model. The calculations are based on the following considerations.

The volume of the mat ($V_m$) is the sum of the volume of the flakes ($V_f$) and the volume of the space ($V_s$):

$$V_m = V_f + V_s.$$  (1)

The volume of the flakes can be further subdivided into volume of the cell wall ($V_{cw}$) and volume of the lumens ($V_l$):

$$V_f = V_{cw} + V_l.$$  (2)

The total void volume within the mat structure ($V_v$) is given as:

$$V_v = V_s + V_l.$$  (3)

The mat formation model can calculate the change of the volume of the mat and the volume of the space during the compression process, and also the total mass of the flakes ($m_f$). Knowing these values, density relationships can be derived, such as the density of the mat

$$\rho_m = \frac{m_f}{V_m},$$  (4)

and the density of the flakes

$$\rho_f = \frac{m_f}{V_f}.$$  (5)

The model also calculates fractional void volumes, such as the lumen fraction within the flakes, $\xi_{lf}$:

$$\xi_{lf} = \frac{V_l}{V_f} = 1 - \frac{\rho_f}{\rho_{cw}},$$  (6)

where $\rho_{cw}$ is the cell-wall density, the space fraction within the mat, $\xi_{sm}$:

$$\xi_{sm} = \frac{V_s}{V_m},$$  (7)

the lumen fraction within the mat, $\xi_{lm}$:

$$\xi_{lm} = \frac{V_l}{V_m} = \xi_{lf}(1 - \xi_{sm}),$$  (8)

and the total void fraction within the mat, $\xi_{sv}$:
The structural change of the mat, described by the densities and void fractions, has a crucial effect on the physical and transport properties during the consolidation. This has to be taken into consideration in the heat and mass transfer part of the hot compression model, especially when the press closing process is simulated.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

*Database assessment for input values of the model*

The first part of the simulation routine required spatial geometry of face and core strands as well as density distribution of the constituents. Strands from the face and core layer of OSB were obtained from a commercial facility. The furnish included a mixture of softwood and hardwood, primarily southern pine (*Pinus* spp.) and yellow-poplar (*Liriodendron tulipifera*). The average moisture content was 6 percent.

The length, width, and thickness distributions of the strands were assessed using an image analysis system, which consisted of a black and white video camera, macro lens, frame grabber board, and image analysis software (ImagePro3.0). Approximately 1,700 core and surface strands were randomly sampled and analyzed by the system. The sample sizes represented all size fractions of the strands. Figure 1 shows the typical size and shape of face layer strands. By measuring the area and perimeter of the irregular-shaped strands, an apparent length and width could be calculated. This process assumes that the area and perimeter of the resulting rectangle are equal to the area and perimeter of the original strands. Numerically, the computations are defined by the following equations:

\[
\zeta_{vn} = \frac{V_{s}}{V_{m}} = \frac{V_{f} + V_{c}}{V_{m}} = \zeta_{im} + \zeta_{om}
\]

\[
= \zeta_{o}(1 - \zeta_{om}) + \zeta_{om}.
\]

\[
I = \frac{P + \sqrt{P^{2} - 16A}}{4}
\]

\[
w = \frac{P - \sqrt{P^{2} - 16A}}{4}
\]

where \(I\) is apparent length [mm], \(w\) is apparent width [mm], \(P\) is perimeter of the strand [mm], and \(A\) is area of the strand [mm²].

Preliminary measurement showed that there was no significant difference between two thickness measurements at the third points along the length of the strands. Therefore, the thickness of each strand was manually measured at the midpoint by a digital caliper with an accuracy of 0.01 mm. The weight of each strand was measured by a digital scale having an accuracy of 0.01 g. From these measurements, the density of each strand was determined.

The above-described measuring technique provided an adequate sample size (\(n > 800\)) that was used to establish the probability distribution functions of each variable in question. Commercial software (Stat::Fit 1.10.06.2, Geer Mountain Software) was used for determining the type and parameters of the best probability density functions that fit the data. Standard statistical procedures, including goodness-of-fit tests (Chi-square, Kolgomorov-Smirnoff), were used to confirm that the
Table 1. Descriptive statistics of core and face layer strand properties.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Length [mm]</th>
<th>Width [mm]</th>
<th>Thickness [mm]</th>
<th>Density [kg/m³]</th>
<th>Area [mm²]</th>
<th>Perimeter [mm]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CORE</td>
<td>Mean (μ)</td>
<td>53.93</td>
<td>6.19</td>
<td>0.59</td>
<td>474</td>
<td>373.81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SD (σ)</td>
<td>27.12</td>
<td>3.51</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>376.81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>COV [%]</td>
<td>50.30</td>
<td>56.76</td>
<td>37.39</td>
<td>15.60</td>
<td>100.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Min</td>
<td>9.50</td>
<td>0.87</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>310</td>
<td>37.85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Max</td>
<td>133.41</td>
<td>25.92</td>
<td>1.60</td>
<td>735</td>
<td>3188.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FACE</td>
<td>Mean (μ)</td>
<td>78.44</td>
<td>8.87</td>
<td>0.64</td>
<td>466</td>
<td>744.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SD (σ)</td>
<td>25.42</td>
<td>5.23</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>581.55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>COV [%]</td>
<td>32.41</td>
<td>58.98</td>
<td>32.96</td>
<td>15.54</td>
<td>78.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Min</td>
<td>12.89</td>
<td>1.20</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>352</td>
<td>54.79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Max</td>
<td>165.45</td>
<td>39.63</td>
<td>1.62</td>
<td>684</td>
<td>4043.96</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

experimentally observed measurements could have come from the specified probability distribution.

Experimental validation of the model

For validation of the model, simulated and actual horizontal density distributions of single layer, random-oriented strandboards were compared. Liquid phenol-formaldehyde resin, 4% by weight, was sprayed on the face strands obtained from the same OSB mill. Five 610-× 610-mm mats were hand-formed and compressed to 19-mm final thickness (~24 × 24 × 0.75 in.) in a hot press at a 200°C platen temperature. The dry target density of the boards was 610 kg/m³ (~38 lb/ft³). The boards were trimmed to 450- × 450-mm dimensions and then cut into 30-mm squares. The density of the 30-mm square blocks was calculated by measuring the dimensions and the weight of the blocks.

Using the average measured board density, five simulations were run and the horizontal density distributions were obtained. The simulated compressed mat was subdivided into 30-mm dimension blocks. The average of 81

![Fig. 2. Frequency histogram of face layer strand width (a.), and length (b.). The fitted three-parameter gamma distribution is overlaid on the width data. A predicted strand length distribution is also shown.](image-url)
### Table 2. Results of the goodness-of-fit tests. The tests were performed with 95% confidence level ($\alpha_{crit} = 0.05$).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Flake variables</th>
<th>Fitted distribution</th>
<th>Parameters</th>
<th>Goodness-of-fit statistics</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Min $\alpha$ $\beta$ $\chi^2$ $\chi^2$ (df) $P$-value</td>
<td>$K$-S (df)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CORE n = 851</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Length [mm]</td>
<td>Empirical</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Width [mm]</td>
<td>Gamma</td>
<td>0.788</td>
<td>2.495</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thickness [mm]</td>
<td>Gamma</td>
<td>0.015</td>
<td>6.807</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Density [kg/m$^3$]</td>
<td>Gamma*</td>
<td>310.000</td>
<td>4.728</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FACE n = 834</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Length [mm]</td>
<td>Empirical</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Width [mm]</td>
<td>Gamma</td>
<td>1.085</td>
<td>2.280</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thickness [mm]</td>
<td>Gamma</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>8.690</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Density [kg/m$^3$]</td>
<td>Gamma*</td>
<td>351.000</td>
<td>2.340</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* = fixed lower bound.
** = number of intervals.
*** = equal probable interval.
**** = Kolgomorov-Smirnoff goodness-of-fit test.

### Table 3. Descriptive statistics of experimental and simulated board horizontal densities (RND Seed = random number generator initialization value).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>(Target density) [RND Seed]</th>
<th>Experimental results (61.0 kg/m$^3$)</th>
<th>Simulation results (617.38 kg/m$^3$)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1  2  3  4  5  $\Sigma$</td>
<td>1  2 (4617)  3 (2115)  4 (6203)  5 (9235)  $\Sigma$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean ($\mu$)</td>
<td>626.86  610.35  622.15  610.50  617.04  617.38</td>
<td>620.33  618.63  618.04  621.65  618.86  619.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SD ($\sigma$)</td>
<td>63.87  54.26  54.51  56.01  67.71  59.77</td>
<td>57.96  53.14  56.00  58.44  60.91  57.29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Min</td>
<td>484.95  457.52  467.51  441.46  399.90  399.90</td>
<td>469.86  482.27  485.27  446.34  473.01  446.34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Max</td>
<td>822.29  763.74  754.63  786.13  787.72  822.29</td>
<td>798.32  791.78  798.75  781.71  811.04  811.04</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
densities, calculated at grid points 3.75 mm apart, was used to determine the density of each block, which resulted in a simulated horizontal density profile. Standard statistical procedures were used to compare the means of the simulated and experimentally obtained densities.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

General characteristics and probability density functions of the input variables

The summary statistics of the density and spatial geometry of the face and core layer strands are summarized in Table 1. The mean of the measured strand properties were compared by paired t-tests for statistically significant differences. The density of the strands in the core and face layers was not significantly different at the 90% confidence level, which is reasonable, considering that no effort was made by the company to separate species for the different layers of the mat. However, the measurements revealed that there was a significant difference between the geometry of the face and core strands. The face strands tended to be longer, wider, and thicker than strands in the core. This fact is attributed to the industrial screening practice, which intentionally separates the strands based on length and width. Consequently, the investigation of possible distribution functions of these variables should be separated by core and face. Table 2 compiles the results of these probability fitting procedures. The width, thickness, and density followed the three-parameter Gamma distribution. Two types of standard goodness-of-fit tests were performed on the data. The Kolgomorov-Smirnoff test at 95% confidence level confirmed that these variables came from the specified probability distributions. However, the Chi-square test did not confirm the distribution concerning the thick-

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Experiment</th>
<th>Simulation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Parameters</td>
<td>Parameters</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Min</td>
<td>n</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>399</td>
<td>3.98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>446</td>
<td>3.28</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4. Parameters of the fitted Weibull distributions ($\alpha_{crit} = 0.05$).
Fig. 4. Density histograms generated from the experimental and predicted horizontal density data.

Fig. 5. The reconstructed three-layer OSB mat (G = Gamma (min, α, β), U = Uniform (min, max)). The mat area is 450 × 450 mm. The shade designates the density of the strands. The layers are separated, with only a fraction of the strands shown for better visualization.
ness of the face strands. Nevertheless, the three-parameter Gamma distributions were used for generating the above variables for simulation purposes. Figure 2a. shows the histogram of the width data overlaid with the best fitting probability density function for strands from the face layer.

Several probability density functions were fit to the strand length data. However, none of the probability distribution functions provided an adequate fit to the longitudinal dimension of the strands. There were two noticeable peaks in the frequency histograms at approximately 50- and 100-mm lengths in the case of both the face and core strands (Fig. 2b.). The core flakes have the second peak because some of the 100-mm target length face flakes pass through the screens. Repeated measurements of the length confirmed the existence of the two peaks. Consequently, an empirical distribution was used to describe the length data. The procedure groups the data and calculates the cumulative distribution from the number of observations in each group. The resulting cumulative distribution was then sampled by uniform random numbers. The details of the empirical fitting procedure are described by Law and Kelton (1982).

There is a subtlety involving Figs. 2a. and 2b. The measured quantities were area (A) and perimeter (P), from which widths (w) and lengths (l) were inferred assuming perfectly rectangular flakes. Since the flakes are not truly rectangular, however, it is not obvious that generating length and width according to the inferred distributions will yield distributions of area and perimeter that match the observed distributions. Figure 3 shows, for the face, the measured area and perimeter distributions versus the distributions of $A = l \cdot w$ and $P = 2(l + w)$ obtained by sampling w and l from the inferred distributions in Figs. 2a. and 2b. The close agreement of the measured and calculated area and perimeter data assured the adequacy of the strand geometry transformation procedure.

After establishing the probability density functions of the variables, the Monte-Carlo simulation subroutines were checked by generating several arrays of 1,000 density, thickness, length, and width data from the specified distributions. The simulation subroutines could successfully generate random variables from the three-parameter Gamma distributions. Formal tests verified the accuracy of these subroutines, as demonstrated in Fig. 2b., where two initial data sets of length data are compared to the simulated values. Based on these results, the type and parameters of the probability distribution functions listed in Table 2 were incorporated into the mat formation simulation model to recreate the structure of a three-layer OSB mat.

General validation of the model

Table 3 shows the measured and simulated densities of five sample boards and the pooled data set. A paired t-test revealed at the 95% confidence level, that the means of the density data sets were the same; meanwhile an F-test confirmed that the equal variance assumption was valid. Using the experimental and simulated pooled data sets, the horizontal density distribution was further analyzed by creating histograms and fitting possible distribution functions to the data. The close agreement of the two density histograms is apparent (Fig. 4). The fitting procedure provided the same Weibull probability density function for each of the data sets with parameters given in Table 4. The result of the validation supported the feasibility of this simulation technique for the mat structure of oriented strandboard. Al-

---

Fig. 6. Contour map of the predicted total thickness of strands at the grid points (a.), and the final horizontal density distribution of the compressed mat (b.). The average density is 611.7 kg/m$^3$. The distance between the grid points is 18 mm.
though the validation was performed on a single-layer, randomly formed mat, the model is capable of describing the spatial characteristics of multi-layer oriented mats.

**Simulation of a three-layer oriented strandboard mat**

The main objective of the simulation program was to gain insight into the structure of a commercially produced OSB mat, thus forming the basis for a more thorough model of the hot-pressing process.

It is assumed that the rotation angles of the strands in the face and core layer follow a uniform probability distribution. After visual observation of a commercial OSB panel, the degree of alignment (limits on the orientation) of the strands on the face layer was determined to be ±40°. The same restriction was applied to the core layer. The mass proportion of the top, core, and bottom layers in the simulated mat was 1:2:3. The ratios were used to determine the weight fraction of the layers, in order to reach the final compressed density of 610 kg/m³ (~38 lb/ft³).

Figure 5 depicts the result of the simulation together with the input parameters.

A fine mesh with an 18-mm grid density was superimposed on the simulated mat structure, and the properties of the mat were calculated at 676 grid points (26 × 26). The thickness of strands showed large differences among grid points ranging from 16.8 to 34.8 mm at different locations in the mat, as depicted with a contour map in Fig. 6a.

The mat was compressed to the final thickness with a simulated cold-pressing process. The influence of temperature and moisture was ignored, and no attempt was made to calculate the compression stresses at this stage. Figure 6b. shows the final horizontal density profile in the simulated three-layer OSB mat. The mean density (611.7 kg/m³) showed a good agreement with the intended target density of the board. Notice that the thickness map is different from the density map, because both thickness and density were considered as random variables.

One of the most important parameters related to the effectiveness of the bonding is the contact area between strands. Figure 7a. shows the calculated contact area (C.A.), as a percentage of the total available strand area, versus the compression strain. Compression strain is defined as the ratio of the distance between the press platens and the uncompressed mat thickness. At the end of the press closure, approximately 93% of the total strand area was in contact, which implied that at least 7% of the strand area was not available for bonding.

Figure 7a. summarizes the various void volume fractions as functions of the compression strain. In this example, there is a thirty-fold decrease in space volume fraction (ζ_m), which is the fraction of the volume of space between the flakes to the volume of the mat. As the majority of the space between the strands is eliminated from the mat, the total void fraction (ζ_m) approaches the fraction of the lumen volume in the flakes (ζ_l). The lumen fraction of the flakes remains constant at the beginning of the pressing process, because the cellular structure of the flakes has not yet been compressed. The densification of the flakes was initiated when the mat was compressed to approximately half of its initial thickness.

Figure 7b. shows the change of the density of the mat (p_m) and the density of the flakes (p_l) during the compression process. The density of the mat is lower at the beginning of the pressing process than the density of the flakes because of the large amount of space present in the structure. As the mat is compressed, the mat density immediately increases and gets close to the flake density at the end of the compression. On the other hand, the mat has to be compressed to half of its thickness before continuous flake columns are formed, and the porous structure of the flakes is compressed. In this example, a compression strain of 0.5 marks the onset of flake density increase (p_l).

The void volume fractions and the mat density are closely related to the heat and mass
Fig. 7. Simulated contact area, void volume (a.) and mat and flake density (b.) as a function of compression strain.
Simulation Parameters

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fiber #</td>
<td>400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Length</td>
<td>N(3.0, 0.75)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Width</td>
<td>N(0.035, 0.00875)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thickness</td>
<td>N(0.035, 0.00875)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Orientation</td>
<td>U(-180, 180)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 8. The simulation results of the deposition of 400 fibers on a 7- × 7-mm area (N = Normal (μ, σ), U = Uniform (min, max)). The orientation of the fibers is unrestricted.

Transfer properties of the mat. For example, the thirty-fold change in space volume fraction has a considerable effect on the thermal conductivity, permeability, and diffusivity of the mat. It is apparent that the simulation of heat and mass transfer during the hot-pressing must take into consideration the structural dynamics of the mat during the press closure.

Simulation of a random fiber network

The robustness of the model allows the simulation of not only OSB mats, but also other particle or fiber mat structures. For the purpose of illustration, a fiberboard mat simulation was performed. It was assumed that each fiber dimension followed a truncated normal distribution, and the standard deviation was one quarter of the mean of the particular property. The mean fiber length was assumed to be 3.0 mm, and the mean width and thickness 0.035 mm, resulting in an approximate 1:100 diameter to length ratio. This is typical in the case of softwood longitudinal tracheids. The orientation angle of the fibers was unrestricted (random). Four hundred fibers were deposited on a 49-sq-mm area. Figure 8 shows the simulation outcome. It should be noted that the validation of this process for a fiberboard mat requires additional experimental work.

SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS

The proposed mat formation simulation model is capable of representing the three-dimensional spatial structure of an uncompressed multi-layer wood-based composite mat using the parameters of experimentally determined distributions of strand properties. Novelty of the model is that the dimensions of the strands, such as the length, width, and thickness are considered as random variables. Additionally, a stochastic density value can be assigned to each strand, which allows the incorporation of the density variability represented by mixed species. None of the previously published models is based on geometrical and density data collected on industrial flakes. This makes possible a more accurate approximation of the horizontal density variation of the mat or the final panel.

The model can calculate such essential properties as the change of the strand contact area and several void volume fractions within the mat during the consolidation. The contact area is indicative of the effectively bonded
area of the panel. The void volume fractions are related to the change in heat and mass transfer properties of the mat during the compression process.

Although the model was presented here to simulate the structure of an OSB mat, it is robust enough to describe the mat formation of a wide range of wood-based composites, from fiberboard to laminated strand lumber. With modifications, the proposed model is capable of incorporating the tilting and bridging or the shape of the strands, which may improve the simulation results.
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